



## Are Polarization and Magnetization really bulk properties?

*Prof. Raffaele Resta,*

*University of Trieste, Italy*

Tuesday Nov. 24, 14:00, Room MXC 320

**Abstract:** Textbooks typically attempt microscopic definitions in terms of the dipole moment per cell: this is only correct for the spin contribution to magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$ , but is incorrect for both polarization  $\mathbf{P}$  and for the orbital contribution to  $\mathbf{M}$ . The microscopic quantities well defined inside a polarized material are the microscopic charge and current densities: these trivially determine the dipole (electric and magnetic) of a finite sample, but the sample boundary yields an extensive contribution to such dipoles.

Contrary to what textbooks pretend, the microscopic charge and current densities in the bulk of a sample do not determine the values of  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$ . The problem has been solved in 1993 for  $\mathbf{P}$  and in 2005-6 for  $\mathbf{M}$ . In the latter case, there have been recent developments at the fundamental level [1]. In this talk I will outline the state of the art about  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$ .

[1] R. Bianco and R. Resta, "Orbital Magnetization as a Local Property", Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 087202 (2013).